

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/916,948	07/27/2001	Ishihara Hiroyuki	FY.16948US0A	8806	
20995 7	590 12/10/2002				
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			KENNY, STEPHEN		
IRVINE, CA	92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3726		
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2002	DATE MAILED: 12/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	_	SM.				
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/916,948	HIROYUKI ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen J Kenny	3726				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 (</u>	October 2002 .					
,—	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <b>Disposition of Claims</b>		153 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-9, 11-12, 14-16, 18-19, 21-23, 25</u> is/are rejected.						
• — • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	☐ Claim(s) <u>6,10,13,17,20 and 24</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
,		ne Examiner				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu     See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>	ovisional application has been red tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ceived. Dand/or 121.				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/916,948

Art Unit: 3726

ارجون الحاسطة

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 14 is a repeat of claim 2. Appropriate correction is required. The examiner assumes that claim 14 is intended to be dependent on claim 12, and will be examined accordingly.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1- 5, 7-9, 11, 12, 14-16, 18-19, 21-23, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Itoh et al (US Patent No 6225725).

Regarding claims 1-5, & 7, AAPA discloses positioning a rotor core within a die and filling slits in the rotor core with a resinous magnet, and applying a magnetic field to a resinous magnet in each slit to establish a magnetic orientation for the resinous magnet in each slit (applicant's page 1, paragraphs 0003 – 0004).

AAPA does not disclose positioning elements within the rotor core which prevent the core from moving under the influence of the magnetic field.

Itoh discloses a plurality of first positioning elements (53) that are disposed on an outside circumference of the core and aligned with a plurality of second positioning elements (38).

These positioning elements are press fitted between adjacent pole piece laminates thereby

Application/Control Number: 09/916,948

Art Unit: 3726

بريد.

preventing displacement (column 6, lines 3-18). These positioning elements are advantageous in that they ensure the uniformity of the core, which is essential for obtaining optimum performance. It is well known that a uniform air gap between the stator and rotor cores has a profound impact on the motor performance (i.e. efficiency, noise, etc.) With the use of such positioning elements the geometry of the rotor & stator cores can be maintained allowing for a uniform air gap between the two cores which is critical for the motor performance. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a rotor core within a die, filling the slits with resinous a magnet as disclosed by AAPA while using positioning elements on an outer circumference as taught by Itoh, in order to realize the advantages discussed above. Note, although Itoh's disclosure is directed to stator cores, both stator & rotor cores are formed by blanking & stacking laminates, and it is well known within the art that stator & rotor cores can be formed by the same processes. In fact Itoh indicates this fact by specifying that the disclosure is applicable for "a rotating apparatus" (column 6, line 20 & column 7, line 16 & 20) which includes rotor cores.

Regarding claims 8, 9, 11, 12, 14-16, 18-19, 21, 25, AAPA/Ito discloses the claimed invention except for the various positioning element features claimed throughout claims 8, 9, 11, 12, 14-16, 18-19, 21, 25 (e.g. a first positioning element formed on a face of the rotor core, a shaft hole having a keying portion, etc.). It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to form a positioning element comprising such features, since applicant has not disclosed that such features solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the positioning elements of AAPA/Ito. Note, if applicant argues that the various positioning element configurations of claims 8, 9, 11, 12, 14-16,

Application/Control Number: 09/916,948

Art Unit: 3726

. مورد د مورد

18-19, 21, 25 are not a matter of design choice and instead are patentably distinct, then a species restriction will be raised in the following action, and applicant will be required to elect one species for examination.

Regarding claims 22 & 23, AAPA discloses slits having first and second ends, a die with a plurality of magnets, positioning the rotor core in the die with the slits aligned with the poles of the magnets (applicant's page 1, paragraphs 0003-0004).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6, 10, 13, 17, 20, & 24 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record on the attached PTO-892, and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J Kenny whose telephone number is 703-306-0359. The examiner can normally be reached on mon - fri 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

sk SK December 3, 2002

BREGORY M. VIDOVICH